

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/637,129	08/08/2003	Marijan Munjic	P06655US0	P06655US0 3830	
34082	7590 07/13/2004		EXAMINER		
ZARLEY LAW FIRM P.L.C.			WONG, STEVEN B		
CAPITAL SQ 400 LOCUST,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	S, IA 50309-2350		3711		
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/637,129	MUNJIC ET AL.	ce
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven Wong	3711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	<u> </u>	ith the correspondence addres	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rly (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commul BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal mat	•	rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		hy the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	on is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have beer (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	ge
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-15-2003.	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/637,129

Art Unit: 3711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Turner. Turner discloses a puzzle wherein six oblong parts are capable of assembly into a cruciform shape (Figure 1). Note Figures 6-10 and Examples I-V detailing the blocks that may be used to construct the cruciform. Turner particularly teaches for several sets of blocks that each have recesses and are non-identical.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Turner. Turner appears to teach each and every shape as recited in the instant claims in his Examples I-V and Figures 6-10. The recited method for construction of the puzzle with the shapes is inherently taught since the blocks are identical and the identified shape to be constructed is identical.

In the alternative, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the shapes of the blocks of Turner to those instantly recited in order to vary the construction of Application/Control Number: 10/637,129 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

the cruciform shape. Such differences in shape are considered to be obvious design choices given the teachings of Turner and that no particular criticality or advantage is associated with the recited block shapes as compared to the blocks taught by Turner.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Wong whose telephone number is 703-308-3135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 703-308-1513. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711

SBW July 9, 2004